

Neighborhood semantics for non-classical logics with modalities

Petr Cintula¹ Carles Noguera²

¹Institute of Computer Science
Czech Academy of Sciences

²Institute of Information Theory and Automation
Czech Academy of Sciences

SYSMICS'16

The goal of this presentation

To study neighborhood semantics for modal **many-valued** logics

Neighborhood semantics (Scott–Montague 1970) provides,
in the classical case, a possible-worlds semantics for logics where
the usual Kripke semantics is not adequate

In particular we will

- define it for a very wide class of logics
- describe its relation with Kripke-style semantics
- axiomatize global consequence relations (w.r.t. all models)

Future work:

- axiomatize global consequence relations w.r.t. classes of models (i.e. extensions with modal axioms)
- study local consequence relations

Modal many-valued logics – 1

Many-valued logics: logics complete w.r.t. an intended semantics of algebras with more than two truth-values (typically FL_{ew} -algebras).

Modal many-valued logics: expansions of many-valued logics with modal operators

- Fitting 1992
- Hájek 1998
- Caicedo, Rodríguez 2010
- Bou, Esteva, Godo, Rodríguez 2011
- Marti, Metcalfe 2014
- Vidal 2015
- Caicedo, Metcalfe, Rodríguez, Rogger 2016
- Godo, Rodríguez 2016
- Cintula, Noguera, Rogger 2016

Modal many-valued logics – 2

Modal many-valued logics are endowed with a Kripke-style semantics based on a many-valued scale which provides:

- 1 truth-values of propositions at each possible world
- 2 degree of accessibility from one world to another.

Modal many-valued logics – 2

Modal many-valued logics are endowed with a Kripke-style semantics based on a many-valued scale which provides:

- 1 truth-values of propositions at each possible world
- 2 degree of accessibility from one world to another.

Problems:

- Axiomatizing a Kripke-style semantics over a given algebra (or class of algebras) of truth-values is in general a difficult problem.
- Conversely, proof systems with natural syntactic conditions may fail to be complete with any such Kripke-style semantics.

Classical neighborhood semantics

SM-model: $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N, V \rangle$, where

- $W \neq \emptyset$ (worlds)
- $N: W \rightarrow 2^{2^W}$ (neighborhood function)
- $V: Var \times W \rightarrow 2$ (classical evaluation), extended to all formulas, in particular:

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\Box\varphi, x) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} \in N(x),$$

where $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{y \in W \mid V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi, y) = 1\}$ the set of worlds where “ φ is true”.

$\varphi \in Fm_{\Box\Diamond}$ is **valid in \mathfrak{M}** if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} = W$.

Global SM-consequence: $\Gamma \models_{SM} \varphi$.

Classical Kripke semantics

K-model: $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$, where

- $W \neq \emptyset$ (worlds)
- $R \subseteq W^2$ (accessibility relation)
- $V: Var \times W \rightarrow 2$ (classical evaluation), extended to all formulas, in particular:

$$V^{\mathcal{M}}(\Box\varphi, x) = 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad R[x] \subseteq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}}$$

where $R[x] = \{y \in W \mid Rxy\}$ and $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \{y \in W \mid V^{\mathcal{M}}(\varphi, y) = 1\}$.

K-validity and global K-consequence are defined analogously to SM-validity and SM-consequence.

Relation between classical neighborhood and Kripke

- ① Given any K-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$, we obtain an SM-model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N_R, V \rangle$ by setting for all $x \in W$,

$$X \in N_R(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad R[x] \subseteq X.$$

Relation between classical neighborhood and Kripke

- ① Given any K-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$, we obtain an SM-model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N_R, V \rangle$ by setting for all $x \in W$,

$$X \in N_R(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad R[x] \subseteq X.$$

- ② Given any SM-model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N, V \rangle$, we can define a K-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R_N, V \rangle$ by setting for all $x, y \in W$,

$$R_Nxy \quad \text{iff} \quad y \in X, \text{ for each } X \in N(x).$$

Relation between classical neighborhood and Kripke

- ① Given any K-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$, we obtain an SM-model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N_R, V \rangle$ by setting for all $x \in W$,

$$X \in N_R(x) \quad \text{iff} \quad R[x] \subseteq X.$$

- ② Given any SM-model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N, V \rangle$, we can define a K-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R_N, V \rangle$ by setting for all $x, y \in W$,

$$R_Nxy \quad \text{iff} \quad y \in X, \text{ for each } X \in N(x).$$

To preserve the truth of all formulas at each world, \mathfrak{M} has to be **augmented**, i.e., satisfy two additional conditions:

- $N(x)$ contains its core, i.e. the set $(\bigcap_{X \in N(x)} X) \in N(x)$,
- $N(x)$ is closed under taking supersets, i.e. if $X \in N(x)$ and $X \subseteq Y$, then $Y \in N(x)$.

Relation between classical neighborhood and Kripke

Theorem 1

- (a) Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ be a K-model. Then $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N_R, V \rangle$ is an augmented SM-model, $R_{N_R} = R$, and $V^{\mathfrak{M}} = V^{\mathcal{M}}$.
- (b) Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N, V \rangle$ be an augmented SM-model. Then $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R_N, V \rangle$ is a K-model, $N_{R_N} = N$, and $V^{\mathcal{M}} = V^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

\models_{ASM} : semantical consequence of augmented SM-models.

Corollary 2

For any subset $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\square\lozenge}$ and formula $\varphi \in \text{Fm}_{\square\lozenge}$,

$$\Gamma \models_{\text{ASM}} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{\text{K}} \varphi.$$

The logic of classical neighborhood models

Let SM be the expansion of classical logic with

$$(E) \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\Box\varphi \leftrightarrow \Box\psi}$$

Theorem 3

Let $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm_{\Box\Diamond}$, then

$$\Gamma \vdash_{SM} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{SM} \varphi.$$

An FL_{ew} -*algebra* is a structure $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \&, \rightarrow, \bar{0}, \bar{1} \rangle$ such that:

- (1) $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \bar{0}, \bar{1} \rangle$ is a bounded lattice,
- (2) $\langle B, \&, \bar{1} \rangle$ is a commutative monoid,
- (3) $z \leq x \rightarrow y$ iff $x \& z \leq y$, (residuation)

Many-valued Kripke semantics (for a fixed complete $FL_{e,w}$ -alg. A)

K(A)-model: $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ such that

- $W \neq \emptyset$ (worlds)
- $R: W \times W \rightarrow A$ (accessibility relation)
- $V: Var \times W \rightarrow A$ (evaluation), extended to formulas inductively: using operations of A for the non-modal connectives and

$$V^{\mathcal{M}}(\Box\varphi, x) = \bigwedge \{Rxy \rightarrow V(\varphi, y) \mid y \in W\} = (R[x] \subseteq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

$$V^{\mathcal{M}}(\Diamond\varphi, x) = \bigvee \{Rxy \& V(\varphi, y) \mid y \in W\} = (R[x] \not\subseteq \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}}).$$

where we define:

the A -valued subsets of W to which y belongs to the degree

$$R[x] = \{y \in W \mid Rxy\}$$

it is accessible from x

$$\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \{y \in W \mid V^{\mathcal{M}}(\varphi, y)\}$$

the formula φ is valid in x

A-valued neighborhood semantics (for a fixed FL_{ew} -algebra A)

SM(A)-model: $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond, V \rangle$ such that

- $W \neq \emptyset$ (worlds)
- $N^\square, N^\diamond : W \rightarrow A^{A^W}$ (neighborhood functions)
- $V : Var \times W \rightarrow A$ (evaluation), extended to formulas inductively: using operations of A for the non-modal connectives and

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\Box\varphi, x) = ([\varphi]_{\mathfrak{M}} \in N^\square(x)),$$

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\Diamond\varphi, x) = ([\varphi]_{\mathfrak{M}} \in N^\diamond(x)).$$

where, as before, $[\varphi]_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{y \in W \mid V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi, y)\}$.

Augmented SM-frames

An $\text{SM}(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond \rangle$ is **augmented** if for each $x \in W$ there is an A -valued subset C_x of W (the **core** of $N^\square(x)$ and $N^\diamond(x)$) such that

$$(C_x \subseteq Y) = (Y \in N^\square(x))$$

$$(C_x \checkmark Y) = (Y \in N^\diamond(x))$$

Relation between A -valued neighborhood and Kripke

- ① Given a $K(A)$ -frame $\langle W, R \rangle$, we define an $SM(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N_R^\square, N_R^\diamond \rangle$:

$$N_R^\square(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \subseteq X\},$$

$$N_R^\diamond(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \not\subseteq X\}.$$

Relation between A -valued neighborhood and Kripke

- 1 Given a $K(A)$ -frame $\langle W, R \rangle$, we define an $SM(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N_R^\square, N_R^\diamond \rangle$:

$$N_R^\square(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \subseteq X\},$$

$$N_R^\diamond(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \not\subseteq X\}.$$

- 2 Given an $SM(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond \rangle$, we define **two accessibility relations**:

$$R_{N^\square}(x, y) = (\forall X)(X \in N^\square(x) \rightarrow y \in X),$$

$$R_{N^\diamond}(x, y) = (\forall X)(y \in X \rightarrow X \in N^\diamond(x)).$$

Relation between A -valued neighborhood and Kripke

- 1 Given a $K(A)$ -frame $\langle W, R \rangle$, we define an $SM(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N_R^\square, N_R^\diamond \rangle$:

$$N_R^\square(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \subseteq X\},$$

$$N_R^\diamond(x) = \{X \in A^W \mid R[x] \not\subseteq X\}.$$

- 2 Given an $SM(A)$ -frame $\langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond \rangle$, we define **two accessibility relations**:

$$R_{N^\square}(x, y) = (\forall X)(X \in N^\square(x) \rightarrow y \in X),$$

$$R_{N^\diamond}(x, y) = (\forall X)(y \in X \rightarrow X \in N^\diamond(x)).$$

Lemma 4

If $\langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond \rangle$ is *augmented*, then $\forall x \in W, C_x = R_{N^\square}[x] = R_{N^\diamond}[x]$.

Relation between A -valued neighborhood and Kripke

Theorem 5

(a) Given a $K(A)$ -model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$, define the augmented $SM(A)$ -model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N_R^\square, N_R^\diamond, V \rangle$.

Then $R_{N_R^\square} = R_{N_R^\diamond} = R$ and for all $\varphi \in Fm_{\square\diamond}$ and all $x \in W$:

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi, x) = V^{\mathcal{M}}(\varphi, x).$$

(b) Given an augmented $SM(A)$ -model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, N^\square, N^\diamond, V \rangle$, define the $K(A)$ -model $\mathcal{M} = \langle W, R = R_{N^\square} = R_{N^\diamond}, V \rangle$.

Then $N_R^\square = N^\square$, $N_R^\diamond = N^\diamond$, and for all $\varphi \in Fm_{\square\diamond}$ and all $x \in W$:

$$V^{\mathcal{M}}(\varphi, x) = V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi, x).$$

Relation between A -valued neighborhood and Kripke

Corollary 6

For all subsets $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm_{\square, \diamond}$,

$\Gamma \models_{K(A)} \varphi$ *iff* $\mathfrak{M} \models_{SM(A)} \varphi$ for all augmented $SM(A)$ -models \mathfrak{M} such that $\mathfrak{M} \models_{SM(A)} \Gamma$.

\mathbb{K} -valued neighborhood semantics (\mathbb{K} a class of FL_{ew} -algebras)

SM(\mathbb{K})-model: $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, \langle A_w \rangle_{w \in W}, N^\square, N^\diamond, V \rangle$ such that

- $W \neq \emptyset$ (worlds)
- $A_w \in \mathbb{K}$ for each $w \in W$ (scales)
- $N^\square, N^\diamond : W \rightarrow (\bigcup_{v \in W} A_v)^{\prod_{v \in W} A_v}$ (neighborhood functions), such that
 $\text{rg}(N^\square(w)), \text{rg}(N^\diamond(w)) \subseteq A_w$
- $V : \text{Var} \times W \rightarrow \bigcup_{v \in W} A_v$ (evaluation), such that $V[\text{Var} \times \{w\}] \subseteq A_w$ and
is extended to formulas inductively: using operations of A for the non-modal connectives and

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\square\varphi, x) = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} \in N^\square(x)),$$

$$V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\diamond\varphi, x) = (\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} \in N^\diamond(x)).$$

where, as before, $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{M}} = \{x \in W \mid V^{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi, x)\}$.

Completeness of a many-valued logic w.r.t. a class \mathbb{K} of algebras

Let L be an axiomatic extension of FL_{ew} and \mathbb{K} a class of L -algebras.

- L is **strongly complete** with respect to \mathbb{K} if for every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm$ we have: $\Gamma \vdash_L \varphi$ iff $\Gamma \models_{\mathbb{K}} \varphi$.
- L is **finitely strongly complete** with respect to \mathbb{K} if the same property holds for each *finite* set $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm$.
- L is **complete** with respect to \mathbb{K} if for every $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm$ we have: $\vdash_L \varphi$ iff $\models_{\mathbb{K}} \varphi$.

An axiomatization of the global logic of $SM(\mathbb{K})$ -models

Theorem 7

Let L be an axiomatic extension of FL_{ew} and \mathbb{K} a class of L -algebras. Let LSM be the expansion of L with the additional rules:

$$(E) \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\Box\varphi \leftrightarrow \Box\psi} \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\Diamond\varphi \leftrightarrow \Diamond\psi}$$

If L is (finitely) strongly complete with respect to \mathbb{K} , then for each (finite) $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq Fm_{\Box\Diamond}$ we have:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{LSM} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{SM(\mathbb{K})} \varphi.$$

An axiomatization of the global logic of $\text{SM}(\mathbb{K})$ -models —in a general framework

Theorem 8

Let L be a finitary protoalgebraic logic in a countable language \mathcal{L} and $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbf{MOD}^*(L)$. Let LSM be the expansion of L with the rules:

$$(E) \quad \frac{\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi}{\Box\varphi \Leftrightarrow \Box\psi} \quad \frac{\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi}{\Diamond\varphi \Leftrightarrow \Diamond\psi}$$

If L is strongly complete with respect to \mathbb{K} ,
then for each $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\Box\Diamond}$ we have:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{LSM}} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{\text{SM}(\mathbb{K})} \varphi.$$

An axiomatization of the global logic of $\text{SM}(\mathbb{K})$ -models —in a general framework

Theorem 8

Let L be a finitary protoalgebraic logic in a countable language \mathcal{L} and $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbf{MOD}^*(L)$. Let LSM be the expansion of L with the rules:

$$(E) \quad \frac{\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi}{\Box\varphi \Leftrightarrow \Box\psi} \quad \frac{\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi}{\Diamond\varphi \Leftrightarrow \Diamond\psi}$$

If L is finitely strongly complete with respect to \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{L} is finite, then for each finite $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \subseteq \text{Fm}_{\Box\Diamond}$ we have:

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\text{LSM}} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \Gamma \models_{\text{SM}(\mathbb{K})} \varphi.$$